

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,698	08/20/2003	Darren L. Ourth	DE003DO-2	6707	
7590 12/20/2004 MICHAEL K. BOYER ORSCHELN MANAGEMENT CO 2000 2000 TH			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SPERTY, A	RDEN B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MOBERLY, M	65270		1771		

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,698	OURTH, DARREN L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arden B. Sperty	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Appl. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
Disposition of Claims	-x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the conference	epted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 25 U.S.O. s.a	140/-> / 1) (0	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Apply documents have been re(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Dication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachmon*/-)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Actie	on Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20041211	

Application/Control Number: 10/644,698

Art Unit: 1771

NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Page 2

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered. Listing of the references in the specification can be found in paragraph [0003]. References cited in the parent application must also be submitted on a proper information disclosure statement.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,638,590 in view of either US Patent No. 5,662,974 to Andrenacci or US Patent No.

Art Unit: 1771

4,389,270 to McClintock. Although the claims are not identical, the claims of the present invention are broader than the patented claims since the present claims do not specify the amounts by weight as required by the claims of the parent application. All presently claimed features have already been patented in the US Patent 6,638,590, except for the limitation of claim 8 requiring an anti-oxidant. Inclusion of an anti-oxidant, however, is notoriously well-known in the art, as evidenced by US Patent 5,662,974 to Andrenacci (col. 3, lines 61-67) or US Patent 4,389,270 to McClintock (col. 7, lines 27-31). It would have been obvious to include an anti-oxidant based on the ultimate intended use of the product, as shown by Andrenacci or McClintock.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arden B. Sperty whose telephone number is (571)272-1543. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 08:00-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571)272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arden B. Sperty

Examiner

Art Unit 1771

Ms. Arti R. Singh Primary Examiner Tech Center 1700

December 11, 2004